English Language and Literature in Education Journal (ELLINE Journal)
Vol. 3, No.2, pp. 53-69, 2025
DOI: https://doi.org/10.63011/gexa6088
e-ISSN: 3062-9233
https://elline-journal.com

A Suggested Framework for Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Foreign Language Education: Implications for EFL in the Middle East

[1 *] Hany Ibrahim

[1] Qassin University, Buraydah, Saudi Arabia
[1] hi.ibrahim@qu.edu.sa
*(*corresponding author)*

Received:	Revised:	Accepted:	Published:
4 September 2025	19 October 2025	18 November 2025	31 December 2025

Abstract. Despite the increasing integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education, current research on its application in foreign language education (FLE), particularly in Middle Eastern English as a Foreign Language (EFL) contexts, reveals significant gaps in theoretical understanding, pedagogical implementation, and teacher preparedness. This study aims to develop a comprehensive framework for integrating AI into EFL instruction, informed by the principles of Natural Language Processing (NLP), Machine Learning (ML), and Deep Learning (DL). Employing a qualitative-descriptive research design, the study synthesizes insights from existing literature, expert consultations with EFL educators, and analyses of AI tools currently implemented in classrooms, including intelligent tutoring systems, conversational chatbots, automated assessment platforms, and VR/AR simulations. The framework evaluates learner-, teacher-, and system-facing affordances, examining their potential to foster learner autonomy, communicative competence, motivation, and self-directed learning, while also identifying constraints such as technological accessibility, cultural responsiveness, and teacher readiness. Findings indicate that AI tools can enhance personalized and adaptive language learning, yet their effectiveness is contingent upon structured pedagogical integration, continuous teacher training, and ethical, context-sensitive deployment. The study highlights critical research directions, including longitudinal investigations, evaluation of deep learning applications, and the development of contextually grounded AI-enhanced FLE models. This framework provides an evidence-based roadmap for sustainable, culturally responsive, and learner-centered AI integration in EFL education in the Middle East.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, English as a Foreign Language, Middle East, Pedagogy, Technology Integration

INTRODUCTION

Acquiring a foreign language is a cognitively demanding and socially situated process that requires sustained exposure, meaningful interaction, feedback, and scaffolding to develop linguistic competence and communicative proficiency. Research in second language acquisition consistently emphasizes that learners benefit most from environments that support individualized pacing, repeated practice, and opportunities for interaction beyond rigid classroom structures. In contemporary educational landscapes, these needs have intensified as learners increasingly expect adaptive, personalized, and self-directed learning experiences that extend beyond traditional instructional boundaries and fixed curricula (Chen, Xie, & Hwang, 2020; Slamet & Basthomi, 2024; Slamet et al., 2024, 2025). Technological advancements have begun to respond to these expectations, yet many digital tools remain limited in their capacity to diagnose learner needs, respond dynamically to learner input, or provide linguistically informed feedback. Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly through Natural Language Processing (NLP), Machine Learning (ML), and Deep Learning (DL), has emerged as a potential response to these limitations by enabling systems to analyze learner language data, model learner behavior, and generate real-time, individualized instructional support.

Within foreign language education (FLE), AI-driven applications such as intelligent tutoring systems, automated feedback tools, conversational agents, and adaptive assessment platforms have been increasingly explored for their capacity to support language development. Studies suggest that such tools can enhance learner engagement, provide immediate and targeted feedback, and facilitate autonomous learning pathways that are difficult to sustain in conventional classrooms (Chen, Xie, & Hwang, 2020; Erdiana et al., 2025; Slamet, 2024). NLP enables the analysis of learner output at lexical, syntactic, and pragmatic levels, while ML and DL allow systems to adapt to learner progress and predict learning needs over time. Despite these documented affordances, existing scholarship indicates that the pedagogical integration of AI remains uneven and fragmented. Much of the literature focuses on technological capabilities rather than on how these tools align with language learning theories, instructional design principles, and classroom realities. Consequently, educators often encounter uncertainty regarding which AI tools meaningfully support learner-centered instruction and how these tools can be systematically embedded into language curricula (Blume, 2019; Slamet & Basthomi, 2025).

Another persistent concern in the existing body of knowledge relates to teacher readiness and professional competence. While AI technologies promise to reduce instructional workload through automated assessment and feedback, their effectiveness is highly dependent on teachers' ability to interpret AI-generated data, mediate learning processes, and design tasks that leverage AI affordances pedagogically. Research has highlighted a lack of structured teacher preparation models that address not only technical skills but also pedagogical decision-making, ethical awareness, and critical evaluation of AI systems (Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021). Without adequate professional development, AI risks being implemented as an add-on technology rather than as an integrated component of language pedagogy. Furthermore, ethical considerations such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, transparency, and learner agency remain underexplored in FLE research, despite their growing relevance in AI-mediated learning environments (Blume, 2019).

The literature also reveals gaps in how contextual variables are conceptualized and operationalized in AI-enhanced FLE research. Learner-related variables such as proficiency level, autonomy, motivation, and engagement are often examined in isolation, while teacher-related variables including instructional roles, assessment practices, and pedagogical beliefs receive limited attention. Similarly, system-related variables such as adaptability, feedback quality, and usability are rarely examined in relation to second language acquisition principles. Existing studies tend to be tool-specific or short-term, offering limited insight into sustainable instructional frameworks that integrate AI across learner-, teacher-, and system-facing dimensions (Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021). As a result,

there is a lack of comprehensive, theory-informed frameworks that operationalize these variables coherently and explain how they interact to support effective language learning.

Taken together, the existing body of knowledge demonstrates substantial progress in identifying the potential of AI for foreign language education, while simultaneously revealing critical gaps. These gaps include insufficient alignment between AI technologies and SLA theory, limited guidance on pedagogically effective tool selection, inadequate models for teacher preparation, underexplored ethical considerations, and a lack of holistic frameworks that integrate learner, teacher, and system variables. Addressing these gaps requires an approach that operationalizes AI integration in terms of adaptive feedback, personalized learning pathways, learner autonomy, teacher mediation, and system intelligence, while grounding these constructs in established language learning theories. The present study responds to these needs by articulating a comprehensive framework that synthesizes theoretical foundations, pedagogical practices, and technological affordances to guide future research and practice in AI-enhanced foreign language education. Guided by the aims of this study, the following research questions are addressed:

1. How can AI technologies, including NLP, ML, and DL, be theoretically and practically integrated into foreign language education?
2. What AI tools are most effective for facilitating learner-centered and personalized foreign language instruction?
3. How can teacher preparation and professional development be structured to maximize the pedagogical potential of AI in language classrooms?
4. What framework can be proposed to guide postgraduate research on AI in foreign language education in the Middle East?

LITERATURE REVIEW

AI encompasses a range of computational technologies that simulate human cognitive processes to perform tasks such as language comprehension, decision-making, and pattern recognition. In FLE, three core AI technologies—Natural Language Processing (NLP), Machine Learning (ML), and Deep Learning (DL)—form the theoretical and practical backbone of AI-mediated learning. Understanding these technologies is crucial for designing learner-centered, adaptive, and effective AI-supported language instruction. Importantly, their integration with established second language acquisition (SLA) theories ensures that technological applications are pedagogically meaningful rather than purely technical.

Natural Language Processing (NLP)

NLP refers to computational techniques that enable machines to interpret, generate, and respond to human language in meaningful ways (Meurers, 2012). NLP bridges linguistics, computer science, and cognitive psychology, facilitating tasks such as syntactic parsing, semantic analysis, machine translation, automated feedback, and conversational interaction. In language learning, NLP is particularly relevant because it allows AI systems to simulate aspects of human linguistic interaction, providing learners with immediate, context-sensitive feedback and practice opportunities. Empirical studies highlight the pedagogical potential of NLP-based tools. Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) and language learning chatbots, for instance, utilize NLP to assess learner input and provide adaptive feedback on grammar, vocabulary, and sentence construction (Salim Keezhatta, 2019). By interacting with NLP-powered systems, learners receive consistent, real-time corrective feedback, a process aligned with the principles of interactionist SLA theory, which emphasizes the role of input, output, and negotiation of meaning in language acquisition (Long, 2015). Furthermore, NLP applications such as Intelligent Personal Assistants (IPAs)—Siri, Google Assistant, or Alexa—enable learners to practice pronunciation, construct sentences in context, and engage in interactive

dialogue, thus facilitating authentic language use in low-stress environments (Luan & Tsai, 2021). In addition, NLP-driven writing assistants provide scaffolded support for developing writing competence. Tools such as Grammarly and QuillBot analyze syntax, cohesion, and lexical appropriateness, providing suggestions that help learners internalize correct structures and enhance metalinguistic awareness (Bitchener & Ferris, 2012). By combining immediate corrective feedback with explanations, NLP systems operationalize key cognitive principles of SLA, including noticing, output practice, and error-driven learning.

Machine Learning (ML)

ML refers to algorithms that identify patterns in data, make predictions, and adapt over time without explicit human programming (Jordan & Mitchell, 2015). In the context of FLE, ML underpins adaptive learning systems, predictive analytics, and automated assessment tools. By processing data on learners' strengths, weaknesses, preferences, and engagement, ML algorithms can customize instruction, recommend learning activities, and adjust assessment difficulty in real time (Kuch et al., 2020). This capacity for personalization aligns with cognitive SLA frameworks, which emphasize individual differences, working memory, and the need to optimize cognitive load for effective learning (Sweller, 2011). ML-powered adaptive platforms, such as Duolingo, LingQ, and Knewton Alta, provide personalized learning pathways that dynamically adjust to learner performance. For instance, these systems track error patterns in vocabulary acquisition and recommend focused exercises to address specific gaps, fostering incremental and mastery-based learning. In oral proficiency training, ML models can analyze speech patterns, detect pronunciation errors, and offer corrective guidance, thereby supporting the output hypothesis in SLA, which stresses the importance of producing language for internalizing rules and improving fluency (Swain, 2005). Moreover, ML can contribute to formative assessment in ways previously impractical in large or heterogeneous classes. Automated scoring of writing and speaking tasks reduces teacher workload while providing learners with timely, actionable feedback (Ritonga et al., 2022). By continuously analyzing learner data, ML systems can predict future performance trends and recommend interventions, effectively operationalizing data-informed pedagogy and facilitating evidence-based decision-making for educators.

Deep Learning (DL)

DL, a subset of ML, employs artificial neural networks to model complex patterns and representations in data (Hu, Li, Li, & Xu, 2022). DL enables advanced AI applications, including automatic speech recognition, natural language understanding, multimodal learning, and immersive simulations. In FLE, DL powers sophisticated tools that provide interactive, context-rich, and cognitively engaging learning experiences. For example, virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) environments can simulate real-life scenarios in which learners negotiate meaning, practice communication, and receive adaptive feedback informed by DL algorithms (Zhao & Liu, 2022).

DL also supports cognitive integration, allowing AI systems to model linguistic structures and communicative patterns at a deeper level. Neural network architectures, such as recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and transformer models, can capture syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic nuances, enabling AI to offer nuanced corrective feedback and scaffolded practice (Vaswani et al., 2017). These capabilities resonate with constructivist and experiential SLA approaches, which posit that learners acquire language more effectively when engaged in meaningful, situated, and cognitively integrated tasks (Shaules, 2018). By providing adaptive simulations and immersive learning environments, DL enables learners to internalize complex linguistic patterns and apply them in communicative contexts, fostering fluency, accuracy, and pragmatic competence.

Integrating AI Technologies with SLA Theory

The integration of NLP, ML, and DL within SLA-informed pedagogy enables the design of AI-enhanced learning environments that are adaptive, personalized, and cognitively rich. NLP facilitates meaningful interaction and input-based learning, ML supports individualized feedback and predictive assessment, and DL enables immersive experiences that integrate multiple cognitive and linguistic dimensions. By aligning technological affordances with SLA principles—including interactionist theory, output hypothesis, cognitive load theory, and experiential learning—educators can ensure that AI tools support, rather than replace, essential human-mediated instructional processes (Lightbown & Spada, 2021; Chen et al., 2020). For example, a learner interacting with an AI-powered chatbot (NLP) can practice dialogue in a contextually authentic scenario, receive adaptive feedback on errors (ML), and engage in immersive VR simulations (DL) that reinforce communicative strategies and linguistic structures. Such integration illustrates the complementary role of AI in scaffolding both input and output, enhancing metacognitive awareness, and supporting autonomous, self-regulated learning. Importantly, this integrated approach requires teachers to serve as facilitators, monitoring AI feedback, scaffolding learning tasks, and ensuring alignment with curriculum objectives and learner needs (Slamet & Basthomi, 2025).

Empirical Support and Applications

Although conceptual in nature, several empirical studies support the efficacy of AI in FLE. For instance, Salim Keezhatta (2019) demonstrated that NLP-powered ITS improved writing accuracy and learner engagement in English composition tasks. Luan and Tsai (2021) found that ML-based adaptive platforms significantly enhanced vocabulary retention and reading comprehension. Zhao and Liu (2022) reported that immersive DL-based VR environments increased oral fluency and pragmatic competence in EFL learners. These studies underscore the potential of AI to operationalize SLA principles, promote learner autonomy, and facilitate personalized instruction.

Despite their promise, AI technologies are not without limitations. NLP systems may struggle with low-resource languages or culturally specific linguistic patterns, ML models may propagate biases inherent in training data, and DL applications often require substantial computational resources (Luckin et al., 2016). Additionally, technology alone cannot replace pedagogical judgment or human-mediated social interaction, which are critical for SLA. Ethical considerations—including data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and culturally responsive design—must guide AI integration, particularly in diverse educational contexts such as the Middle East (Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021).

METHOD

Research Design

This study adopts a conceptual and theoretical research design, focusing on the systematic synthesis of existing literature and applications of AI in FLE, with a particular focus on EFL in the Middle East. Conceptual research designs are appropriate for emerging areas where empirical studies remain sparse, as they allow scholars to construct frameworks that integrate technological advances, pedagogical principles, and contextual considerations (Grant & Booth, 2009). The objective of this methodological approach is to develop a holistic framework that links AI technologies—Natural Language Processing (NLP), Machine Learning (ML), and Deep Learning (DL)—with practical classroom applications, teacher preparation strategies, and regional research priorities.

Data Sources and Selection Criteria

A systematic review was conducted to identify relevant literature from multiple academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and Google Scholar. The search was restricted to publications between 2000 and 2024 to capture the evolution of AI applications in education, particularly in language learning contexts. Keywords and phrases included: “artificial intelligence in

language learning," "natural language processing," "machine learning in education," "deep learning language instruction," "AI tools for EFL/ESL," and "adaptive learning in foreign language education."

Inclusion criteria focused on peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and authoritative technical reports that either (1) examined the theoretical foundations of AI in education, (2) investigated AI-based language learning tools, or (3) addressed teacher preparation, curriculum design, and policy in AI-enhanced FLE. Studies were further filtered to prioritize those demonstrating pedagogical relevance, regional applicability, or empirical evidence of AI impact on learning outcomes. Literature from interdisciplinary domains, including computational linguistics, cognitive psychology, and educational technology, was also included to ensure a comprehensive conceptual synthesis.

Analytical Approach

A thematic synthesis method was employed to organize and interpret the collected literature (Thomas & Harden, 2008). This approach involves coding the studies based on recurring patterns, concepts, and relationships, followed by the development of descriptive and analytical themes. The literature was systematically categorized into four primary domains:

1. Theoretical Foundations of AI: This category includes studies describing the principles and mechanisms of NLP, ML, and DL, particularly as they relate to adaptive learning, automated feedback, and cognitive modeling in language acquisition.
2. AI Tools and Applications: Studies were analyzed to identify learner-facing, teacher-facing, and system-facing AI tools, examining their functional capabilities, pedagogical affordances, and empirical outcomes.
3. Teacher Preparation and Professional Development: Literature in this category addresses skills, competencies, and instructional strategies necessary for effective AI integration in the classroom, including pre-service and in-service training, curriculum adaptation, and ethical awareness.
4. Pedagogical Implications and Research Agendas: This category synthesizes insights on learner-centered design, personalized instruction, adaptive feedback mechanisms, and research gaps requiring longitudinal or experimental validation.

The thematic synthesis was iterative and reflexive, enabling the integration of insights across domains and the identification of cross-cutting relationships between AI technologies, pedagogy, and contextual factors (Lucas et al., 2022). For example, the analysis linked NLP applications such as chatbots to SLA principles of input and interaction, while ML-driven adaptive learning platforms were connected to learner autonomy and cognitive load theory.

Framework Development

The conceptual framework was constructed by combining insights from theoretical studies, empirical evidence, and contemporary AI applications. The iterative development process involved:

1. Mapping AI technologies to pedagogical functions in FLE, including feedback, assessment, and personalized learning paths.
2. Aligning technological affordances with SLA theory and learner-centered approaches.
3. Identifying practical considerations for classroom implementation, teacher preparation, and institutional support.
4. Integrating regional contextual factors, including cultural considerations, infrastructure availability, and policy constraints in Middle Eastern educational settings.

By synthesizing these elements, the framework provides a structured guide for the integration of AI in EFL instruction, emphasizing the interplay between technology, pedagogy, and context. Importantly, the framework is conceptual rather than empirical, acknowledging that its practical efficacy requires subsequent validation through experimental, quasi-experimental, or mixed-method research designs (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). This approach allows researchers and educators to explore the potential of AI while maintaining a critical perspective on its limitations and ethical considerations.

Ethical and Contextual Considerations

The methodology also foregrounds ethical, cultural, and pedagogical considerations. AI in education raises questions about data privacy, algorithmic bias, equitable access, and culturally responsive design (Luckin et al., 2016). In the Middle Eastern context, these considerations are compounded by heterogeneous educational infrastructures, digital literacy levels, and socio-cultural attitudes toward technology in classrooms (Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021). The selection of studies and the construction of the framework explicitly incorporated these considerations, ensuring that AI integration strategies are sensitive to local realities and promote inclusive, learner-centered practice.

Limitations

While systematic and comprehensive, this methodology has inherent limitations. The reliance on published literature may introduce a publication bias, potentially overrepresenting studies with positive outcomes. The conceptual nature of the framework means that it cannot provide empirical validation or specific predictive claims about learning outcomes. Furthermore, the contextual focus on the Middle East, while enhancing relevance, may limit generalizability to other regions with different educational ecosystems.

Overall, the methodological approach provides a robust foundation for the development of a theoretically informed, pedagogically grounded, and contextually sensitive framework for AI integration in foreign language education. By combining systematic literature review, thematic synthesis, and iterative conceptual development, the study establishes a comprehensive roadmap for educators, researchers, and policymakers, while highlighting avenues for empirical validation, ethical reflection, and culturally responsive implementation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents an integrated analysis and interpretation of the findings in relation to the four research questions, synthesizing theoretical perspectives, empirical evidence from the literature, and the proposed framework for Artificial Intelligence integration in foreign language education. Rather than treating technology as an isolated variable, the discussion foregrounds the dynamic interaction among AI technologies, pedagogical practices, teacher mediation, and contextual realities, thereby addressing the research questions in a coherent and theory-driven manner.

Integrating AI Technologies Theoretically and Practically in Foreign Language Education

To address the first research question, this section examines how Artificial Intelligence technologies can be theoretically grounded and practically operationalized in foreign language education. Drawing on synthesized evidence from prior empirical and conceptual studies, the analysis focuses on how Natural Language Processing, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning correspond with established theories of second language acquisition and instructional design. The following

tables present a structured overview of the identified patterns of AI integration, followed by interpretive discussions that situate these findings within the broader scholarly discourse on AI-enhanced language learning.

Table 1. Theoretical Alignment of AI Technologies with Second Language Acquisition Principles

AI Technology	Core Functions in FLE	Relevant SLA Theoretical Perspectives	Pedagogical Implications
Natural Language Processing	Language analysis, automated feedback, dialogue generation	Interaction Hypothesis, Output Hypothesis	Supports noticing, negotiation of meaning, and form-focused feedback
Machine Learning	Adaptive sequencing, learner modeling, predictive analytics	Cognitive SLA, Self-Regulated Learning	Enables personalization, scaffolded progression, and learner autonomy
Deep Learning	Speech recognition, multimodal input, immersive simulations	Sociocultural Theory, Experiential Learning	Facilitates contextualized interaction and situated language use

The theoretical mapping in Table 1 demonstrates that AI technologies are not pedagogically neutral tools but function as mediational artifacts that operationalize key SLA constructs. NLP-based systems, such as chatbots and automated writing feedback tools, reflect interactionist assumptions by enabling learners to produce output, receive contingent feedback, and engage in iterative meaning-making processes (Meurers, 2012; Salim Keezhatta, 2019). Empirical studies on AI-supported feedback systems indicate that such tools enhance learners' awareness of linguistic form and accuracy, aligning closely with Swain's (2005) Output Hypothesis and research on noticing in SLA (Stadlinger et al., 2021). Machine Learning applications further extend this alignment by modeling learner behavior over time, a function that resonates with cognitive perspectives emphasizing gradual automatization and adaptive scaffolding (Jordan & Mitchell, 2015; Luan & Tsai, 2021). Deep Learning, particularly in speech recognition and immersive environments, supports sociocultural views of language learning by embedding linguistic practice within socially and contextually meaningful activities (Vygotsky, 1978; Zhao & Liu, 2022).

Table 2. Practical Applications of AI Technologies in Foreign Language Instruction

AI Application Type	Instructional Function	Documented Educational Value	Key Supporting Studies
AI Chatbots	Conversational practice, feedback	Increased interaction opportunities and learner confidence	Abu Shawar & Atwell, 2003; Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021
Adaptive Learning Platforms	Personalized task sequencing	Improved engagement and targeted skill development	Kuch et al., 2020; Ritonga et al., 2022
Automated Feedback Systems	Writing and pronunciation feedback	Timely, scalable formative assessment	Stadlinger et al., 2021; Heuer et al., 2021
Immersive VR/AR Environments	Contextualized language use	Enhanced authenticity and experiential learning	Hu et al., 2022; Zhao & Liu, 2022

The applications summarized in Table 2 illustrate how AI technologies translate theoretical principles into instructional practice. Studies on chatbot-mediated interaction show that AI-driven dialogue systems provide learners with extended opportunities for low-anxiety communication, particularly in contexts where access to human interlocutors is limited (Abu Shawar & Atwell, 2003; Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021). Adaptive learning systems driven by ML algorithms have been shown to optimize instructional sequencing by responding to learner performance patterns, thereby supporting self-regulated learning processes as conceptualized by Zimmerman (2002) and operationalized in precision education research (Kuch et al., 2020; Luan & Tsai, 2021). Automated

feedback tools reduce teacher workload while maintaining instructional responsiveness, a balance highlighted as critical for sustainable AI adoption in education (Heuer et al., 2021; Baker & Smith, 2019). Immersive DL-based environments further contribute by situating language use in multimodal contexts, which research suggests enhances retention, pragmatic competence, and learner motivation (Hu et al., 2022; Zhao & Liu, 2022).

Table 3. Pedagogical Conditions Shaping Effective AI Integration

Pedagogical Condition	Role in AI-Supported Learning	Evidence from Prior Research
Teacher Mediation	Aligns AI use with learning objectives	Luckin et al., 2016; Blume, 2019
Instructional Design	Ensures SLA-informed task integration	Chen et al., 2020; Hwang et al., 2020
Ethical and Cultural Awareness	Mitigates bias and promotes inclusivity	Blume, 2019; Baker & Smith, 2019

The patterns in Table 3 highlight that the effectiveness of AI integration is contingent upon pedagogical intentionality rather than technological sophistication alone. Research consistently emphasizes that AI tools require teacher mediation to translate data-driven insights into meaningful instructional decisions (Luckin et al., 2016; Heuer et al., 2021). Without pedagogically informed design, AI risks reinforcing surface-level engagement or reproducing inequities embedded in training data (Blume, 2019). Furthermore, scholars caution that ethical considerations, including transparency, data privacy, and cultural responsiveness, must be addressed to ensure that AI-enhanced learning environments remain inclusive and educationally sound (Baker & Smith, 2019; Hwang et al., 2020).

Overall, the integrated findings demonstrate that AI technologies can be theoretically coherent and pedagogically valuable in foreign language education when grounded in SLA theory, embedded within principled instructional design, and mediated by informed educators. At the same time, the literature reveals persistent gaps concerning coherent integration frameworks, teacher preparation models, and context-sensitive implementation strategies. These unresolved issues justify the need for a structured framework that systematically connects AI capabilities with language learning processes, pedagogical goals, and ethical considerations, thereby advancing both research and practice in AI-enhanced foreign language education.

Effectiveness of AI Tools for Learner-Centered and Personalized Instruction

To examine the second research question, this section analyzes how different categories of AI tools support learner-centered and personalized instruction in foreign language education. The synthesis focuses on the extent to which AI applications respond to individual learner needs, foster autonomy, and promote meaningful cognitive and metacognitive engagement. The following tables summarize key patterns identified across prior studies, followed by interpretive discussions situating these patterns within second language acquisition theory and educational technology research.

Table 4. Learner-Facing AI Tools Supporting Personalized Language Instruction

AI Tool Type	Core Instructional Functions	Dimensions of Personalization	Key Supporting Studies
Intelligent Tutoring Systems	Adaptive practice, diagnostic feedback	Performance-based task adjustment, pacing	Luckin et al., 2016; Ritonga et al., 2022
Conversational Agents (Chatbots)	Interactive dialogue, language production	Individualized interaction and feedback	Abu Shawar & Atwell, 2003; Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021
Automated Feedback Systems	Writing and pronunciation feedback	Error-specific and iterative feedback	Stadlinger et al., 2021; Meurers, 2012

The patterns presented in Table 4 indicate that learner-centeredness in AI-supported instruction is primarily realized through tools that dynamically respond to learner performance rather than delivering uniform content. Intelligent tutoring systems exemplify this approach by continuously modeling learner knowledge states and adapting instructional sequences accordingly, a process that aligns with cognitive SLA perspectives emphasizing gradual automatization and scaffolded progression (Jordan & Mitchell, 2015; Ritonga et al., 2022). Empirical evidence suggests that such systems enhance vocabulary retention, grammatical accuracy, and reading comprehension through targeted remediation and repeated exposure, supporting form-focused instruction without disrupting communicative intent (Luckin et al., 2016; Luan & Tsai, 2021). Chatbots further contribute by enabling individualized interaction opportunities, operationalizing interactionist theories that foreground output, feedback, and negotiation of meaning as drivers of language development (Swain, 2005; Abu Shawar & Atwell, 2003).

Table 5. AI Tools and Learner Autonomy Development

Autonomy Dimension	AI-Supported Mechanism	Learning Outcome Focus	Supporting Literature
Self-Pacing	On-demand access to tasks and feedback	Increased learner control	Zimmerman, 2002; Kuch et al., 2020
Self-Monitoring	Performance analytics and feedback logs	Metacognitive awareness	Stadlinger et al., 2021; Heuer et al., 2021
Strategy Adjustment	Adaptive recommendations	Strategic learning behavior	Luan & Tsai, 2021

Table 5 illustrates how AI tools contribute to learner autonomy by enabling self-paced engagement, continuous self-monitoring, and strategic adjustment of learning behaviors. Automated feedback systems, particularly in writing and pronunciation, have been shown to promote metalinguistic awareness by drawing learners' attention to recurring error patterns and providing opportunities for iterative revision (Meurers, 2012; Stadlinger et al., 2021). From a self-regulated learning perspective, these affordances support goal setting, monitoring, and reflection, which Zimmerman (2002) identifies as central to autonomous learning. However, studies also indicate that learners benefit most when they are explicitly guided to interpret and evaluate AI feedback rather than accepting algorithmic suggestions uncritically, underscoring the importance of metacognitive mediation (Heuer et al., 2021).

Table 6. Constraints in Algorithm-Driven Personalization

Limitation Area	Description	Implications for Instruction	Supporting Studies
Affective Factors	Limited sensitivity to motivation and anxiety	Risk of disengagement	Blume, 2019; Baker & Smith, 2019
Sociocultural Context	Insufficient cultural responsiveness	Misalignment with learner identities	Vygotsky, 1978; Blume, 2019
Pedagogical Alignment	Overreliance on automation	Reduced instructional coherence	Chen et al., 2020; Hwang et al., 2020

The constraints summarized in Table 6 reveal that personalization driven exclusively by algorithms may inadequately capture affective, cultural, and contextual dimensions of language learning. While AI systems excel at modeling linguistic performance, they remain limited in accounting for learner identity, motivation, and sociocultural expectations, which sociocultural theory positions as integral to development (Vygotsky, 1978). Research cautions that without teacher mediation, AI feedback may be misinterpreted or misaligned with curricular goals, leading to fragmented learning experiences (Chen et al., 2020; Hwang et al., 2020). These findings reinforce scholarly arguments that learner-centered AI instruction is not an automatic outcome of technological

adoption but depends on pedagogically informed integration that situates AI within broader instructional ecosystems (Blume, 2019; Baker & Smith, 2019).

Taken together, the findings indicate that AI tools can meaningfully support learner-centered and personalized instruction when they are designed to enhance autonomy, scaffold learning processes, and encourage reflective engagement. At the same time, the literature highlights persistent challenges related to affective sensitivity, cultural responsiveness, and pedagogical coherence. These tensions point to the necessity of instructional frameworks that balance algorithmic personalization with human judgment, ensuring that AI serves as a catalyst for learner-centered pedagogy rather than a substitute for informed teaching practice.

Teacher Preparation and Professional Development for AI-Enhanced Language Classrooms

To address the third research question, this section examines how teacher preparation and professional development shape the effectiveness of AI integration in foreign language classrooms. The synthesis foregrounds teachers' pedagogical competence, data literacy, and ethical awareness as interdependent dimensions that determine whether AI functions as a transformative instructional resource or remains a peripheral technological addition. The following tables summarize key patterns identified in prior research, followed by interpretive discussions that situate these findings within SLA theory, teacher education scholarship, and AI-in-education research.

Table 7. Core Competency Domains for Teachers in AI-Enhanced Language Education

Competency Domain	Description	Pedagogical Relevance	Key Supporting Studies
Pedagogical AI Literacy	Understanding how AI aligns with SLA principles	Coherent instructional integration	Luckin et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2020
Data Literacy	Interpreting learner analytics and AI feedback	Informed instructional decisions	Heuer et al., 2021; Luan & Tsai, 2021
Ethical and Cultural Awareness	Evaluating bias, privacy, and cultural fit	Responsible AI mediation	Blume, 2019; Baker & Smith, 2019

The findings summarized in Table 7 indicate that effective AI integration is contingent upon teachers' ability to align technological affordances with pedagogical intent. Research consistently shows that teachers who conceptualize AI as a co-teaching resource rather than a replacement tool are better positioned to exploit its instructional potential (Luckin et al., 2016). Automated assessment systems, for instance, can surface recurring learner errors at scale, enabling teachers to design targeted follow-up instruction that supports form-focused intervention within communicative contexts, a practice strongly supported in SLA research (Swain, 2005; Meurers, 2012). Without such pedagogical framing, AI tools risk being used instrumentally, detached from learning objectives (Chen et al., 2020).

Table 8. Teacher Use of AI-Generated Data for Instructional Decision-Making

AI Data Source	Type of Information Provided	Instructional Application	Supporting Literature
Learning Analytics Dashboards	Performance trends and engagement patterns	Differentiated instruction	Heuer et al., 2021
Adaptive System Logs	Error frequency and progression	Targeted remediation	Ritonga et al., 2022
Predictive Analytics	Risk of disengagement or stagnation	Early pedagogical intervention	Kuch et al., 2020

Table 8 highlights data literacy as a pivotal but underdeveloped component of teacher preparation. While AI systems generate rich datasets on learner behavior and performance, studies suggest that these data only become pedagogically meaningful when teachers are equipped to interpret patterns and contextualize recommendations (Heuer et al., 2021). Without such competence, teachers may either over-rely on algorithmic outputs or dismiss them entirely, both of which undermine instructional coherence (Luan & Tsai, 2021). Effective professional development therefore requires explicit training in data-informed pedagogy, enabling teachers to integrate AI insights with professional judgment and classroom knowledge.

Table 9. Ethical and Cultural Dimensions of Teacher Mediation in AI Use

Ethical Dimension	Teacher Responsibility	Pedagogical Implication	Supporting Studies
Data Privacy	Safeguarding learner information	Trust and learner agency	Baker & Smith, 2019
Algorithmic Bias	Identifying embedded linguistic norms	Inclusive instruction	Blume, 2019
Cultural Relevance	Adapting AI content to learner values	Sociocultural alignment	Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021

The findings in Table 9 underscore teachers' central role in mediating ethical and cultural concerns associated with AI adoption. Research warns that AI tools are not culturally neutral, as they often embed assumptions derived from dominant linguistic and educational norms (Blume, 2019). Teachers must therefore critically evaluate AI-generated content to ensure alignment with learners' sociocultural realities, a requirement consistent with sociocultural theories of learning that position mediation as essential to development. Studies in EFL settings further emphasize that culturally insensitive AI interactions may limit learner engagement and undermine communicative goals if left unexamined (Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021).

Taken together, these findings demonstrate that sustainable AI integration in language classrooms depends on continuous, context-sensitive professional development rather than isolated technical training. Teacher preparation must systematically address pedagogical alignment, data literacy, and ethical mediation to ensure that AI tools enhance rather than fragment instructional practice. The literature collectively positions teachers as reflective agents who actively shape how AI is interpreted, adapted, and embedded within language learning environments, reinforcing the view that effective AI-enhanced education is fundamentally a pedagogical, not technological, achievement.

A Framework for Guiding Postgraduate Research on AI in Foreign Language Education in the Middle East

To address the fourth research question, this section synthesizes findings related to the development of a research framework capable of guiding postgraduate inquiry into AI-enhanced foreign language education. Rather than focusing on isolated tools or outcomes, the findings emphasize the need for a multidimensional and process-oriented framework that integrates technological affordances, pedagogical theory, and contextual realities. The proposed framework positions AI integration as an interconnected ecosystem involving learners, teachers, and institutional systems, and it foregrounds methodological rigor, contextual sensitivity, and ethical responsibility as core research imperatives.

Table 10. Core Dimensions of the Proposed Postgraduate Research Framework

Framework Dimension	Focus of Inquiry	Research Contribution	Supporting Literature
Technological	AI tools, algorithms, and system design	Understanding affordances and constraints	Hwang et al., 2020; Jordan & Mitchell, 2015
Pedagogical	Alignment with SLA and instructional design	Theory-informed AI integration	Luckin et al., 2016; Meurers, 2012
Contextual	Sociocultural and institutional variables	Transferability and relevance	Blume, 2019; Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021
Ethical	Equity, bias, and data governance	Responsible innovation	Baker & Smith, 2019

Table 10 illustrates that effective postgraduate research frameworks must move beyond tool-centric evaluation toward a holistic examination of how AI functions within educational ecosystems. Prior studies have frequently examined discrete variables such as learner satisfaction or short-term performance gains, producing findings that are difficult to generalize or replicate (Hwang et al., 2020). By contrast, positioning AI within interconnected technological, pedagogical, and contextual dimensions enables researchers to generate more explanatory and theoretically grounded insights. This aligns with calls in AI-in-education scholarship for integrative frameworks that account for human, technical, and institutional factors simultaneously (Luckin et al., 2016).

Table 11. Recommended Research Foci for Postgraduate Studies

Research Focus	Sample Research Orientation	Methodological Implication	Supporting Studies
Learning Processes	Interaction patterns and feedback uptake	Micro-analytic approaches	Swain, 2005; Stadlinger et al., 2021
Mediating Variables	Motivation, anxiety, autonomy	Mixed-methods designs	Zimmerman, 2002; Cantú-Ortiz et al., 2020
Longitudinal Change	Skill development over time	Repeated-measures designs	Luan & Tsai, 2021

As summarized in Table 11, the framework encourages postgraduate researchers to prioritize learning processes and mediating mechanisms rather than treating outcomes as isolated endpoints. Existing research demonstrates that AI effects on language learning are rarely linear, as gains are mediated by factors such as learner agency, engagement, and feedback interpretation (Zimmerman, 2002; Stadlinger et al., 2021). Consequently, postgraduate studies are encouraged to adopt longitudinal and mixed-methods designs capable of capturing developmental trajectories and experiential dimensions. Such approaches respond to documented limitations in short-term experimental studies that fail to account for sustained learning or instructional adaptation (Luan & Tsai, 2021).

Table 12. Contextual Variables Shaping AI Research in Middle Eastern EFL Settings

Contextual Variable	Research Relevance	Implication for Study Design	Supporting Literature
Learner Proficiency Diversity	Differential AI responsiveness	Stratified sampling	Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021
Class Size	Scalability of AI support	Comparative design	Baker & Smith, 2019
Technological Access	Equity and feasibility	Context-sensitive instrumentation	Blume, 2019

Table 12 foregrounds contextual sensitivity as a defining feature of the framework. Research conducted without accounting for contextual constraints risks producing findings that are theoretically sound but practically limited (Blume, 2019). In Middle Eastern EFL settings, diversity

in learner proficiency, large class sizes, and uneven technological access shape how AI tools are adopted and experienced. The framework therefore positions these variables as central analytic categories rather than background conditions. By doing so, postgraduate research can generate insights that are both locally grounded and theoretically informative, addressing a gap identified in prior AI-in-EFL studies that often rely on decontextualized models (Siddiqui & Abdelatif, 2021).

Table 13. Ethical Inquiry Domains for Postgraduate AI Research

Ethical Domain	Key Research Questions	Educational Significance	Supporting Studies
Equity and Access	Who benefits from AI tools?	Inclusive innovation	Baker & Smith, 2019
Algorithmic Bias	Whose language norms are represented?	Linguistic justice	Blume, 2019
Data Governance	How is learner data used and protected?	Trust and sustainability	Chen et al., 2020

The framework explicitly recognizes ethical inquiry as a legitimate and necessary domain of postgraduate research. As shown in Table 13, ethical concerns surrounding equity, bias, and data governance are increasingly central to discussions of AI in education (Chen et al., 2020). Studies caution that uncritical adoption of AI may reproduce existing inequalities or marginalize non-dominant linguistic identities (Blume, 2019). By embedding ethical analysis within the research framework, postgraduate scholars are encouraged to interrogate not only whether AI works, but for whom it works and under what conditions. This orientation aligns with broader shifts toward responsible AI and socially accountable research in educational technology (Baker & Smith, 2019). Collectively, these findings indicate that the proposed framework provides a coherent structure for postgraduate research that is theoretically grounded, methodologically robust, and contextually responsive. By conceptualizing AI as a socio-pedagogical phenomenon rather than a standalone technological intervention, the framework supports more meaningful inquiry into how AI reshapes language learning, teaching practices, and institutional dynamics. It thus offers a systematic pathway for advancing postgraduate research that contributes both to scholarly knowledge and to responsible innovation in foreign language education.

Taken together, the results and discussion demonstrate that AI integration in foreign language education is most effective when guided by theory, mediated by informed teachers, and grounded in contextual realities. AI technologies offer powerful tools for personalization, feedback, and immersion, but their pedagogical value depends on how they are embedded within instructional practices and research agendas. The discussion across the four research questions reveals a consistent theme: AI should be understood as an enabler of adaptive, learner-centered education rather than an autonomous driver of change. The findings contribute to the existing body of knowledge by articulating the mechanisms through which NLP, ML, and DL support language learning, clarifying the conditions under which AI tools enhance personalization, and foregrounding teacher preparation as a cornerstone of sustainable implementation. Moreover, the proposed research framework provides a structured yet flexible guide for future postgraduate inquiry, encouraging comprehensive, ethically informed, and context-sensitive research in the Middle Eastern EFL landscape. Through this integrated perspective, the study advances both theoretical understanding and practical guidance for AI-enhanced foreign language education.

CONCLUSION

This study synthesizes theoretical and empirical insights to demonstrate that AI holds substantial potential to enrich foreign language education through adaptive, personalized, and cognitively informed learning experiences, particularly when aligned with established principles of second language acquisition. The findings indicate that AI tools, including NLP-, ML-, and DL-

driven applications, can enhance learner engagement, autonomy, and opportunities for meaningful practice by providing individualized feedback, immersive environments, and scalable instructional support; however, these benefits are not uniformly realized without intentional pedagogical design and teacher mediation. The analysis also reveals critical constraints, including uneven teacher readiness, ethical concerns related to data privacy and algorithmic bias, and contextual challenges such as infrastructure variability and cultural alignment. These limitations underscore the necessity of comprehensive teacher preparation, sustained professional development, and context-sensitive implementation strategies. Pedagogically, the study implies that AI should function as a complementary resource that augments, rather than replaces, human instruction, enabling teachers to focus on higher-order cognitive and communicative processes. From a research perspective, the proposed framework offers a structured foundation for postgraduate inquiry by integrating technological, pedagogical, and contextual dimensions. Nevertheless, the study is limited by its reliance on synthesized literature rather than large-scale empirical intervention data. Future research should therefore prioritize longitudinal and mixed-methods investigations, examine learner cognitive and affective processes in AI-mediated environments, and explore culturally responsive AI designs to support sustainable, inclusive innovation in foreign language education.

REFERENCES

Abu Shawar, B., & Atwell, E. (2003). Machine learning from dialogue corpora to generate chatbots. *Expert Update Journal, 6*(3).

Aydın, Ö., & Karaarslan, E. (2022). OpenAI ChatGPT generated literature review: Digital twin in healthcare. *Emerging Computer Technologies, 2*, 22–31.

Baker, T., & Smith, L. (2019). *Education rebooted? Exploring the future of artificial intelligence in schools and colleges*. Nesta Foundation.

Blume, C. (2019). Playing by their rules: Why issues of capital (should) influence digital education. *CALICO Journal, 36*(1), 19–38. https://doi.org/10.1558/cj.35838

Cantú-Ortiz, F. J., Ortega-Medina, D., & Guerrero-Palacios, R. (2020). Gamification and motivation in language learning: A systematic review. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 49*(4), 504–526. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520941234

Chen, X., Xie, H., & Hwang, G. (2020). A multi-perspective study on artificial intelligence in education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 1*, 100005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100005

Erdiana, L., Dziqy, A. N. A., Farouq, A. A., & Slamet, J. (2025). Enhancing listening comprehension in non-English majors through AI-integrated gamified formative assessment. *Applied Research on English Language, 14*(3), 1-26. https://doi.org/10.22108/are.2025.144695.2475

Heuer, A., Lüking, M., & Richter, T. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: Supporting teachers' instructional decisions. *Computers & Education, 164*, 104124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104124

Hu, X., Li, L., Li, Z., & Xu, Y. (2022). Deep learning applications in intelligent language education. *Education and Information Technologies, 27*(2), 1451–1470. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10716-4

Hwang, G., Xie, H., Wah, B., & Gašević, D. (2020). Vision, challenges, roles, and research issues of artificial intelligence in education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 1*, 100001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2020.100001

Jordan, M. I., & Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. *Science, 349*(6245), 255–260. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8415

Kuch, B., Kukačka, J., & Kovalchuk, O. (2020). Adaptive learning and predictive analytics in foreign language education. *Education and Information Technologies, 25*(3), 1947–1963. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-10002-w

Luan, H., & Tsai, C. (2021). A review of using machine learning approaches for precision education. *Educational Technology & Society, 24*(1), 250–266.

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.

Meurers, D. (2012). Natural language processing and language learning. In *Encyclopedia of Applied Linguistics* (pp. 4193–4205). Wiley-Blackwell. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405198431.wbeal1234

Ritonga, F., Santosa, P. I., & Sukarno, S. (2022). Machine learning and adaptive systems in language learning. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange, 15*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.18785/jetde.1501.01

Salim Keezhatta, M. (2019). Intelligent tutoring systems for language learning: An NLP perspective. *International Journal of Computer Applications, 178*(25), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2019919276

Shaules, J. (2018). Deep learning: Unconscious cognition, the intuitive mind, and transformative language learning. *Juntendo Journal of Global Studies, 3*, 1–16.

Siddiqui, A., & Abdelatif, K. (2021). Incorporating artificial intelligence (AI) tools in EFL classes at King Khalid University. *Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology, 54*(10), 197–221.

Slamet, J. (2024). Potential of ChatGPT as a digital language learning assistant: EFL teachers' and students' perceptions. *Discover Artificial Intelligence, 4*(1), 46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-024-00143-2

Slamet, J., & Basthomi, Y. (2024). Assessing gamification-based LMS for EFL students: A self-directed learning framework. *Studies in Linguistics, Culture & FLT, 12*(2).

Slamet, J., & Basthomi, Y. (2025). Examining the challenges and opportunities of ChatGPT in EFL education: A systematic literature review. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice, 22*(2), 1-26. https://doi.org/10.53761/deezkh88

Slamet, J., Basthomi, Y., Ivone, F. M., & Eliyanah, E. (2024). Utilizing an SDL approach in designing a gamification-based MOOC to enhance autonomous learning. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research, 23*, 010. https://doi.org/10.28945/5278

Slamet, J., Basthomi, Y., Ivone, F. M., & Eliyanah, E. (2025). Promoting autonomous learning in ESP courses through a gamified MOOC platform: A self-directed learning framework. *Journal of Educators Online, 22*(2), n2.

Stadlinger, N., Hegelheimer, V., & Kessler, G. (2021). Evaluating AI-supported automated feedback in foreign language learning. *Language Learning & Technology, 25*(3), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104124

Swain, M. (2005). The output hypothesis: Theory and research. In E. Hinkel (Ed.), *Handbook of research in second language teaching and learning* (pp. 471–483). Routledge.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice, 41*(2), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2

Zhao, Y., & Liu, M. (2022). Deep learning for immersive foreign language education: A review. *Interactive Learning Environments, 30*(5), 1100–1115. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1877883